



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/693,377	10/24/2003	James Hunter Boone	TLAB.100292	1630
<div>5251 7590 10/25/2007 SHOOK, HARDY & BACON LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 2555 GRAND BLVD KANSAS CITY, MO 64108-2613</div>				
			EXAMINER PORTNER, VIRGINIA ALLEN	
			ART UNIT 1645,	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 10/25/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address : COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10693377	10/24/03	BOONE ET AL.	TLAB.100292

SHOOK, HARDY & BACON LLP
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT
2555 GRAND BLVD
KANSAS CITY, MO 64108-2613

EXAMINER

Ginny Portner

ART UNIT	PAPER
1645	20071019

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

Please see attached interview summary.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/693,377	Applicant(s) BOONE ET AL.	
	Examiner Ginny Portner	Art Unit 1645	

All Participants:
Status of Application: amended; pending

(1) Ginny Portner(PTO).

(3) _____.

(2) Ms. Jean Dickman (Reg. No. 48538/Admin Assistant).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 October 2007
Time: pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

na

Claims discussed:

na

Prior art documents discussed:

na

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(_____
Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – If appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted the Applicant's Representative to clarify the prosecution history, specifically the document mailed to Applicant's Representative. The Office Action mailed September 25, 2007 was identical to the Office Action mailed February 22, 2007. The document mailed September 25, 2007 contained a few additional pages for cited references were provided in the US-PTO 892 not previously provided. The status of instant Application is pending, amended, and the examiner is now required to respond to Applicant's response submitted August 22, 2007. This interview summary is being made of record, to clarify the fact that document mailed September 25, 2007 need not be responded to, as a response has already been filed on August 22, 2007. .